

BGP Best Current Practices

ISP/IXP Workshops



What is BGP for??

What is an IGP not for?

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

Internal Routing Protocols (IGPs)

examples are ISIS and OSPF

used for carrying infrastructure addresses

NOT used for carrying Internet prefixes or customer prefixes

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

- BGP used internally (iBGP) and externally (eBGP)
- iBGP used to carry some/all Internet prefixes across backbone customer prefixes
- eBGP used to
 exchange prefixes with other ASes
 implement routing policy

BGP versus OSPF/ISIS

DO NOT:

distribute BGP prefixes into an IGP distribute IGP routes into BGP use an IGP to carry customer prefixes

YOUR NETWORK WILL NOT SCALE



Aggregation

Aggregation

- Aggregation means announcing the address block received from the RIR to the other ASes connected to your network
- Subprefixes of this aggregate may be:
 - **Used internally in the ISP network**
 - Announced to other ASes to aid with multihoming
- Unfortunately too many people are still thinking about class Cs, resulting in a proliferation of /24s in the Internet routing table

Configuring Aggregation – Cisco IOS

- ISP has 101.10.0.0/19 address block
- To put into BGP as an aggregate:

```
router bgp 100
network 101.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
ip route 101.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
```

The static route is a "pull up" route

more specific prefixes within this address block ensure connectivity to ISP's customers

"longest match lookup"

Aggregation

- Address block should be announced to the Internet as an aggregate
- Subprefixes of address block should NOT be announced to Internet unless special circumstances (more later)
- Aggregate should be generated internally

Not on the network borders!

Announcing Aggregate – Cisco IOS

Configuration Example


```
router bgp 100
network 101.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 102.102.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 102.102.10.1 prefix-list out-filter out
!
ip route 101.10.0.0 255.255.224.0 null0
!
ip prefix-list out-filter permit 101.10.0.0/19
ip prefix-list out-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Announcing an Aggregate

- ISPs who don't and won't aggregate are held in poor regard by community
- Registries publish their minimum allocation size Anything from a /20 to a /22 depending on RIR Different sizes for different address blocks
- No real reason to see anything longer than a /22 prefix in the Internet

BUT there are currently >103000 /24s!

Aggregation – Example



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announced /19 aggregate to the Internet

Aggregation – Good Example

Customer link goes down

their /23 network becomes unreachable


/23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP

 /19 aggregate is still being announced

> no BGP hold down problems no BGP propagation delays no damping by other ISPs

- Customer link returns
 - Their /23 network is visible again
 The /23 is re-injected into AS100's iBGP
 - The whole Internet becomes visible immediately
 - Customer has Quality of Service perception

Aggregation – Example



- Customer has /23 network assigned from AS100's /19 address block
- AS100 announces customers' individual networks to the Internet

Aggregation – Bad Example

Customer link goes down

Their /23 network becomes unreachable

/23 is withdrawn from AS100's iBGP

 Their ISP doesn't aggregate its /19 network block

/23 network withdrawal announced to peers

starts rippling through the Internet

added load on all Internet backbone routers as network is removed from routing table

Customer link returns

Their /23 network is now visible to their ISP

Their /23 network is re-advertised to peers

Starts rippling through Internet

Load on Internet backbone routers as network is reinserted into routing table

Some ISP's suppress the flaps

Internet may take 10-20 min or longer to be visible

Where is the Quality of Service???

Aggregation – Summary

Good example is what everyone should do!

Adds to Internet stability

Reduces size of routing table

Reduces routing churn

Improves Internet QoS for everyone

Bad example is what too many still do!

Why? Lack of knowledge?

The Internet Today (June 2006)

Current Internet Routing Table Statistics

BGP Routing Table Entries	190279
Prefixes after maximum aggregation	104688
Unique prefixes in Internet	93243
Prefixes smaller than registry alloc	94161
/24s announced	103044
only 5747 /24s are from 192.0.0.0/8	
ASes in use	22424

Efforts to improve aggregation

The CIDR Report

Initiated and operated for many years by Tony Bates

Now combined with Geoff Huston's routing analysis

www.cidr-report.org

Results e-mailed on a weekly basis to most operations lists around the world

Lists the top 30 service providers who could do better at aggregating



Receiving Prefixes

Receiving Prefixes

 There are three scenarios for receiving prefixes from other ASNs

Customer talking BGP

Peer talking BGP

Upstream/Transit talking BGP

 Each has different filtering requirements and need to be considered separately

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

- ISPs should only accept prefixes which have been assigned or allocated to their downstream customer
- If ISP has assigned address space to its customer, then the customer IS entitled to announce it back to his ISP
- If the ISP has NOT assigned address space to its customer, then:

Check in the five RIR databases to see if this address space really has been assigned to the customer

The tool: whois -h whois.apnic.net x.x.x.0/24

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

```
pfs-pc$ whois -h whois.apnic.net 202.12.29.0
```

202.12.29.0 - 202.12.29.255inetnum:

netname: APNIC-AP-AU-BNE

descr: APNIC Pty Ltd - Brisbane Offices + Servers

Level 1, 33 Park Rd descr:

descr: PO Box 2131, Milton

descr: Brisbane, QLD.

country: AU

admin-c: HM20-AP

tech-c: NO4-AP

mnt-by: APNIC-HM

hm-changed@apnic.net 20030108 changed:

ASSIGNED PORTABLE status:

APNIC source:

announce it to you

Portable – means its an assignment to the customer, the customer can

Receiving Prefixes: From Customers

Example use of whois to check if customer is entitled to announce address space:

\$ whois -h whois.ripe.net 193.128.2.0

193.128.2.0 - 193.128.2.15 inetnum:

descr: Wood Mackenzie

country: GB

admin-c: DB635-RIPE tech-c: DB635-RIPE ASSIGNED PA status:

mnt-by: AS1849-MNT

changed: davids@uk.uu.net 20020211

RIPE source:

route: 193.128.0.0/14

descr: PIPEX-BLOCK1

origin: AS1849

notify: routing@uk.uu.net

mnt-by: AS1849-MNT

beny@uk.uu.net 20020321 changed:

RIPE source:

ASSIGNED PA - means that it is **Provider Aggregatable address space** and can only be used for connecting to the ISP who assigned it

Receiving Prefixes from customer: Cisco IOS

For Example:

downstream has 100.50.0.0/20 block should only announce this to upstreams upstreams should only accept this from them

Configuration on upstream

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 102.102.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 102.102.10.1 prefix-list customer in
ip prefix-list customer permit 100.50.0.0/20
```

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

 A peer is an ISP with whom you agree to exchange prefixes you originate into the Internet routing table

Prefixes you accept from a peer are only those they have indicated they will announce

Prefixes you announce to your peer are only those you have indicated you will announce

Receiving Prefixes: From Peers

Agreeing what each will announce to the other:

Exchange of e-mail documentation as part of the peering agreement, and then ongoing updates

OR

Use of the Internet Routing Registry and configuration tools such as the IRRToolSet

www.isc.org/sw/IRRToolSet/

Receiving Prefixes from peer: Cisco IOS

For Example:

peer has 220.50.0.0/16, 61.237.64.0/18 and 81.250.128.0/17 address blocks

Configuration on local router

```
router bgp 100
neighbor 102.102.10.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 102.102.10.1 prefix-list my-peer in
!
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 220.50.0.0/16
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 61.237.64.0/18
ip prefix-list my-peer permit 81.250.128.0/17
ip prefix-list my-peer deny 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

- Upstream/Transit Provider is an ISP who you pay to give you transit to the WHOLE Internet
- Receiving prefixes from them is not desirable unless really necessary

special circumstances – see later

Ask upstream/transit provider to either:

originate a default-route

OR

announce one prefix you can use as default

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

Downstream Router Configuration

```
router bgp 100
network 101.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 101.5.7.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 101.5.7.1 prefix-list infilter in
neighbor 101.5.7.1 prefix-list outfilter out
!
ip prefix-list infilter permit 0.0.0.0/0
!
ip prefix-list outfilter permit 101.10.0.0/19
```

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

Upstream Router Configuration

```
router bgp 101
neighbor 101.5.7.2 remote-as 100
neighbor 101.5.7.2 default-originate
neighbor 101.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-in in
neighbor 101.5.7.2 prefix-list cust-out out
!
ip prefix-list cust-in permit 101.10.0.0/19
!
ip prefix-list cust-out permit 0.0.0.0/0
```

Receiving Prefixes: From Upstream/Transit Provider

 If necessary to receive prefixes from any provider, care is required

```
don't accept RFC1918 etc prefixes
```

ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc3330.txt

don't accept your own prefixes

don't accept default (unless you need it)

don't accept prefixes longer than /24

Check Project Cymru's list of "bogons"

http://www.cymru.com/Documents/bogon-list.html

Receiving Prefixes

```
router bop 100
network 101.10.0.0 mask 255.255.224.0
neighbor 101.5.7.1 remote-as 101
neighbor 101.5.7.1 prefix-list in-filter in
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0
                                                  ! Block default
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/8 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 10.0.0.0/8 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 101.10.0.0/19 le 32 ! Block local prefix
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 127.0.0.0/8 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 169.254.0.0/16 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 172.16.0.0/12 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.0.2.0/24 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 192.168.0.0/16 le 32
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 224.0.0.0/3 le 32
                                                  ! Block multicast
ip prefix-list in-filter deny 0.0.0.0/0 ge 25
                                                  ! Block prefixes >/24
ip prefix-list in-filter permit 0.0.0.0/0 le 32
```

Receiving Prefixes

 Paying attention to prefixes received from customers, peers and transit providers assists with:

The integrity of the local network

The integrity of the Internet

Responsibility of all ISPs to be good Internet citizens



Prefixes into iBGP

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

- Use iBGP to carry customer prefixes don't use IGP
- Point static route to customer interface
- Use BGP network statement
- As long as static route exists (interface active), prefix will be in BGP

Router Configuration: network statement

Example:

```
interface loopback 0
 ip address 215.17.3.1 255.255.255.255
interface Serial 5/0
 ip unnumbered loopback 0
 ip verify unicast reverse-path
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
router bgp 100
network 215.34.10.0 mask 255.255.252.0
```

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

interface flap will result in prefix withdraw and reannounce

use "ip route...permanent"

 many ISPs use redistribute static rather than network statement

only use this if you understand why

Router Configuration: redistribute static

• Example:

```
ip route 215.34.10.0 255.255.252.0 Serial 5/0
router bgp 100
 redistribute static route-map static-to-bgp
<snip>
route-map static-to-bgp permit 10
match ip address prefix-list ISP-block
 set origin igp
<snip>
ip prefix-list ISP-block permit 215.34.10.0/22 le 30
```

Injecting prefixes into iBGP

- Route-map ISP-block can be used for many things:
 - setting communities and other attributes
 - setting origin code to IGP, etc
- Be careful with prefix-lists and route-maps
 - absence of either/both means all statically routed prefixes go into iBGP



Scaling the network

How to get out of carrying all prefixes in IGP

Why use BGP rather than IGP?

IGP has Limitations:

The more routing information in the network

Periodic updates/flooding "overload"

Long convergence times

Affects the core first

Policy definition

Not easy to do

Preparing the Network

- We want to deploy BGP now...
- BGP will be used therefore an ASN is required
- If multihoming to different ISPs is intended in the near future, a public ASN should be obtained:

Either go to upstream ISP who is a registry member, or

Apply to the RIR yourself for a one off assignment, or

Ask an ISP who is a registry member, or

Join the RIR and get your own IP address allocation too (this option strongly recommended)!

Preparing the Network

- The network is not running any BGP at the moment single statically routed connection to upstream ISP
- The network is not running any IGP at all
 Static default and routes through the network to do "routing"

Preparing the Network IGP

- Decide on IGP: OSPF or ISIS ©
- Assign loopback interfaces and /32 addresses to each router which will run the IGP

Loopback is used for OSPF and BGP router id anchor Used for iBGP and route origination

Deploy IGP (e.g. OSPF)

IGP can be deployed with NO IMPACT on the existing static routing

e.g. OSPF distance is 110, static distance is 1

Smallest distance wins

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

 Be prudent deploying IGP – keep the Link State Database Lean!

Router loopbacks go in IGP

Backbone WAN point to point links go in IGP

(In fact, any link where IGP dynamic routing will be run should go into IGP)

Summarise on area/level boundaries (if possible) – i.e. think about your IGP address plan

Preparing the Network IGP (cont)

Routes which don't go into the IGP include:

Dynamic assignment pools (DSL/Cable/Dial)

Customer point to point link addressing

(using next-hop-self in iBGP ensures that these do NOT need to be in IGP)


Static/Hosting LANs

Customer assigned address space

Anything else not listed in the previous slide

Preparing the Network iBGP

- Second step is to configure the local network to use iBGP
- iBGP can run on
 all routers, or
 a subset of routers, or
 just on the upstream edge
- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections




Preparing the Network iBGP (Transit Path)

- iBGP must run on all routers which are in the transit path between external connections
- Routers C, E and F are not in the transit path

Static routes or IGP will suffice

Router D is in the transit path

Will need to be in iBGP mesh, otherwise routing loops will result



Preparing the Network Layers

Typical SP networks have three layers:

Core – the backbone, usually the transit path

Distribution – the middle, PoP aggregation layer

Aggregation – the edge, the devices connecting customers

Preparing the Network Aggregation Layer

iBGP is optional

Many ISPs run iBGP here, either partial routing (more common) or full routing (less common)

Full routing is not needed unless customers want full table

Partial routing is cheaper/easier, might usually consist of internal prefixes and, optionally, external prefixes to aid external load balancing

Communities make this administratively easy

Many aggregation devices can't run iBGP

Static routes from distribution devices for address pools

IGP for best exit

Preparing the Network Distribution Layer

Usually runs iBGP

Partial or full routing (as with aggregation layer)

But does not have to run iBGP

IGP is then used to carry customer prefixes (does not scale)

IGP is used to determine nearest exit

Networks which plan to grow large should deploy iBGP from day one

Migration at a later date is extra work

No extra overhead in deploying iBGP; indeed, the IGP benefits

Preparing the Network Core Layer

- Core of network is usually the transit path
- iBGP necessary between core devices

Full routes or partial routes:

Transit ISPs carry full routes in core

Edge ISPs carry partial routes only

Core layer includes AS border routers

Decide on:

Best iBGP policy

Will it be full routes everywhere, or partial, or some mix?

iBGP scaling technique

Community policy?

Route-reflectors?

Techniques such as peer templates?

Then deploy iBGP:

Step 1: Introduce iBGP mesh on chosen routers make sure that iBGP distance is greater than IGP distance **Use distance bgp 200 200 200**

Step 2: Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 3: Carefully remove the static routing for the prefixes now in IGP and iBGP

Check! Does the network still work?

Step 4: Deployment of eBGP follows

Install "customer" prefixes into iBGP?

- Customer assigned address space
 - Network statement/static route combination
 - Use unique community to identify customer assignments
- Customer facing point-to-point links
 - Redistribute connected routes through filters which only permit point-topoint link addresses to enter iBGP
 - Use a unique community to identify point-to-point link addresses (these are only required for your monitoring system)
- Dynamic assignment pools & local LANs
 - Simple network statement will do this
 - Use unique community to identify these networks

Carefully remove static routes?

Work on one router at a time:

Check that static route for a particular destination is also learned either by IGP or by iBGP

If so, remove it

If not, establish why and fix the problem

(Remember to look in the RIB, not the FIB!)

- Then the next router, until the whole PoP is done
- Then the next PoP, and so on until the network is now dependent on the IGP and iBGP you have deployed

Preparing the Network Completion

Previous steps are NOT flag day steps

Each can be carried out during different maintenance periods, for example:

Step One on Week One

Step Two on Week Two

Step Three on Week Three

And so on

And with proper planning will have NO customer visible impact at all

Preparing the Network Configuration Summary

- IGP essential networks are in IGP
- Customer networks are now in iBGP iBGP deployed over the backbone
 Full or Partial or Upstream Edge only
- BGP distance is greater than any IGP
- Now ready to deploy eBGP



BGP Best Current Practices

ISP/IXP Workshops