

#### **ISP/IXP Workshops**

• How does a service provider:

Scale the iBGP mesh beyond a few peers?

- Implement new policy without causing flaps and route churning?
- Keep the network stable, scalable, as well as simple?

- Route Refresh
- Peer groups
- Route Reflectors
- (Confederations)



# **Dynamic Reconfiguration**

**Route Refresh** 

# **Route Refresh**

#### **Problem:**

- Hard BGP peer reset required after every policy change because the router does not store prefixes that are rejected by policy
- Hard BGP peer reset:

**Tears down BGP peering** 

**Consumes CPU** 

Severely disrupts connectivity for all networks

Solution:

Route Refresh

# **Route Refresh Capability**

- Facilitates non-disruptive policy changes
- No configuration is needed

Automatically negotiated at peer establishment

- No additional memory is used
- Requires peering routers to support "route refresh capability" – RFC2918
- clear ip bgp x.x.x.x in tells peer to resend full BGP announcement
- clear ip bgp x.x.x.x out resends full BGP announcement to peer

# **Dynamic Reconfiguration**

Use Route Refresh capability

Supported on virtually all routers find out from "show ip bgp neighbor" Non-disruptive, "Good For the Internet"

Only hard-reset a BGP peering as a last resort

# Consider the impact to be equivalent to a router reboot

# **Soft Reconfiguration**

- Now deprecated but:
- Router normally stores prefixes which have been received from peer after policy application

Enabling soft-reconfiguration means router also stores prefixes/attributes received prior to any policy application

Uses more memory to keep prefixes whose attributes have been changed or have not been accepted

 Only useful now when operator requires to know which prefixes have been sent to a router prior to the application of any inbound policy

# **Soft Reconfiguration**



# **Configuring Soft Reconfiguration**

router bgp 100

neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 101

neighbor 1.1.1.1 route-map infilter in

neighbor 1.1.1.1 soft-reconfiguration inbound

! Outbound does not need to be configured !

Then when we change the policy, we issue an exec command

clear ip bgp 1.1.1.1 soft [in | out]

# **Managing Policy Changes**

- Ability to clear the BGP sessions of groups of neighbours configured according to several criteria
- clear ip bgp <addr> [soft] [in|out]

<addr> may be any of the following

X.X.X.X	IP address of a peer
*	all peers
ASN	all peers in an AS
external	all external peers
peer-group <name></name>	all peers in a peer-group



# Peer Groups

#### **Peer Groups**

Problem – how to scale iBGP

Large iBGP mesh slow to build

iBGP neighbours receive the same update

**Router CPU wasted on repeat calculations** 

#### Solution – peer-groups

Group peers with the same outbound policy Updates are generated once per group

## **Peer Groups – Advantages**

- Makes configuration easier
- Makes configuration less prone to error
- Makes configuration more readable
- Lower router CPU load
- iBGP mesh builds more quickly
- Members can have different inbound policy
- Can be used for eBGP neighbours too!

#### **Configuring a Peer Group**

router bgp 100

neighbor ibgp-peer peer-group

neighbor ibgp-peer remote-as 100

neighbor ibgp-peer update-source loopback 0

neighbor ibgp-peer send-community

neighbor ibgp-peer route-map outfilter out

neighbor 1.1.1.1 peer-group ibgp-peer

neighbor 2.2.2.2 peer-group ibgp-peer

neighbor 2.2.2.2 route-map infilter in

neighbor 3.3.3.3 peer-group ibgp-peer

! note how 2.2.2.2 has different inbound filter from peer-group !

#### **Configuring a Peer Group**

router bgp 100

neighbor external-peer peer-group

neighbor external-peer send-community

neighbor external-peer route-map set-metric out

neighbor 160.89.1.2 remote-as 200

neighbor 160.89.1.2 peer-group external-peer

neighbor 160.89.1.4 remote-as 300

neighbor 160.89.1.4 peer-group external-peer

neighbor 160.89.1.6 remote-as 400

neighbor 160.89.1.6 peer-group external-peer

neighbor 160.89.1.6 filter-list infilter in

#### **Peer Groups**

Always configure peer-groups for iBGP

Even if there are only a few iBGP peers

Easier to scale network in the future

#### Consider using peer-groups for eBGP

Especially useful for multiple BGP customers using same AS (RFC2270)

Also useful at Exchange Points where ISP policy is generally the same to each peer



# **Route Reflectors**

#### Scaling the iBGP mesh

# Scaling iBGP mesh



**Confederation – more complex, has corner case advantages** 

# **Route Reflector: Principle**



## **Route Reflector**

- Reflector receives path from clients and non-clients
- Selects best path
- If best path is from client, reflect to other clients and non-clients
- If best path is from non-client, reflect to clients only
- Non-meshed clients
- Described in RFC2796



# **Route Reflector Topology**

- Divide the backbone into multiple clusters
- At least one route reflector and few clients per cluster
- Route reflectors are fully meshed
- Clients in a cluster could be fully meshed
- Single IGP to carry next hop and local routes

# Route Reflectors: Loop Avoidance

#### Originator\_ID attribute

Carries the RID of the originator of the route in the local AS (created by the RR)

#### Cluster\_list attribute

The local cluster-id is added when the update is sent by the RR

**Cluster-id is router-id (address of loopback)** 

Do NOT use *bgp cluster-id x.x.x.x* 

# Route Reflectors: Redundancy

 Multiple RRs can be configured in the same cluster – not advised!

All RRs in the cluster **must** have the same cluster-id (otherwise it is a different cluster)

• A router may be a client of RRs in different clusters

Common today in ISP networks to overlay two clusters – redundancy achieved that way

→ Each client has two RRs = redundancy

# Route Reflectors: Redundancy



# **Route Reflector: Benefits**

- Solves iBGP mesh problem
- Packet forwarding is not affected
- Normal BGP speakers co-exist
- Multiple reflectors for redundancy
- Easy migration
- Multiple levels of route reflectors

# **Route Reflectors: Migration**

• Where to place the route reflectors?

Follow the physical topology!

This will guarantee that the packet forwarding won't be affected

Configure one RR at a time

Eliminate redundant iBGP sessions

Place one RR per cluster

## **Route Reflector: Migration**



# Migrate small parts of the network, one part at a time.

## **Configuring a Route Reflector**

Router D configuration:

```
router bgp 100
....
neighbor 1.2.3.4 remote-as 100
neighbor 1.2.3.4 route-reflector-client
neighbor 1.2.3.5 remote-as 100
neighbor 1.2.3.5 route-reflector-client
neighbor 1.2.3.6 remote-as 100
neighbor 1.2.3.6 route-reflector-client
```

. . .

 These 3 techniques should be core requirements on all ISP networks

**Route Refresh (or Soft Reconfiguration)** 

- Peer groups
- **Route Reflectors**



# **BGP Confederations**

## Confederations

#### Divide the AS into sub-AS

eBGP between sub-AS, but some iBGP information is kept

Preserve NEXT\_HOP across the sub-AS (IGP carries this information)

**Preserve LOCAL\_PREF and MED** 

- Usually a single IGP
- Described in RFC3065

# Confederations

 Visible to outside world as single AS – "Confederation Identifier"

Each sub-AS uses a number from the private space (64512-65534)

iBGP speakers in sub-AS are fully meshed

The total number of neighbors is reduced by limiting the full mesh requirement to only the peers in the sub-AS

### Confederations



# **Confederations: Next Hop**



# **Confederation: Principle**

- Local preference and MED influence path selection
- Preserve local preference and MED across sub-AS boundary
- Sub-AS eBGP path administrative distance
## **Confederations: Loop Avoidance**

- Sub-AS traversed are carried as part of AS-path
- AS-sequence and AS path length
- Confederation boundary
- AS-sequence should be skipped during MED comparison

## **Confederations: AS-Sequence**



### **Route Propagation Decisions**

• Same as with "normal" BGP:

From peer in same sub-AS  $\rightarrow$  only to external peers

From external peers  $\rightarrow$  to all neighbors

"External peers" refers to

Peers outside the confederation

Peers in a different sub-AS

Preserve LOCAL\_PREF, MED and NEXT\_HOP

## **Confederations (cont.)**

#### • Example (cont.):

BGP table version is 78, local router ID is 141.153.17.1

Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, \* valid, > best, i
- internal

Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

Network		Next Hop	Metric	LocPrf	Weight	Path		
	*> 10.0.0.0	141.153.14.3	0	100	0	(65531)	1 i	
	*> 141.153.0.0	141.153.30.2	0	100	0	(65530)	i	
	*> 144.10.0.0	141.153.12.1	0	100	0	(65530)	i	
	*> 199.10.10.0	141.153.29.2	0	100	0	(65530)	1 i	

## More points about confederations

- Can ease "absorbing" other ISPs into you ISP e.g., if one ISP buys another (can use local-as feature to do a similar thing)
- You can use route-reflectors with confederation sub-AS to reduce the sub-AS iBGP mesh

## **Confederations: Benefits**

- Solves iBGP mesh problem
- Packet forwarding not affected
- Can be used with route reflectors
- Policies could be applied to route traffic between sub-AS's

## **Confederations: Caveats**

- Minimal number of sub-AS
- Sub-AS hierarchy
- Minimal inter-connectivity between sub-AS's
- Path diversity
- Difficult migration
  - **BGP** reconfigured into sub-AS
  - must be applied across the network

## **RRs or Confederations**

	Internet Connectivity	Multi-Level Hierarchy	Policy Control	Scalability	Migration Complexity
Confederations	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Medium	Medium to High
Route Reflectors	Anywhere in the Network	Yes	Yes	Very High	Very Low

#### Most new service provider networks now deploy Route Reflectors from Day One

Cisco ISP Workshops



## **Route Flap Damping**

#### **Network Stability for the 1990s**

**Network Instability for the 21st Century!** 

## **Route Flap Damping**

- For many years, Route Flap Damping was a strongly recommended practice
- Now it is strongly discouraged as it causes far greater network instability than it cures
- But first, the theory...

## **Route Flap Damping**

#### Route flap

Going up and down of path or change in attribute BGP WITHDRAW followed by UPDATE = 1 flap eBGP neighbour going down/up is NOT a flap Ripples through the entire Internet Wastes CPU

Damping aims to reduce scope of route flap propagation

## **Route Flap Damping (continued)**

#### Requirements

Fast convergence for normal route changes

History predicts future behaviour

Suppress oscillating routes

Advertise stable routes

#### Implementation described in RFC 2439

- Add penalty (1000) for each flap Change in attribute gets penalty of 500
- Exponentially decay penalty

half life determines decay rate

Penalty above suppress-limit

do not advertise route to BGP peers

 Penalty decayed below reuse-limit re-advertise route to BGP peers penalty reset to zero when it is half of reuse-limit



- Only applied to inbound announcements from eBGP peers
- Alternate paths still usable
- Controlled by:

Half-life (default 15 minutes)

reuse-limit (default 750)

suppress-limit (default 2000)

maximum suppress time (default 60 minutes)

## Configuration

### **Fixed damping**

router bgp 100

```
bgp dampening [<half-life> <reuse-value> <suppress-penalty>
   <maximum suppress time>]
```

#### Selective and variable damping

```
bgp dampening [route-map <name>]
route-map <name> permit 10
match ip address prefix-list FLAP-LIST
set dampening [<half-life> <reuse-value> <suppress-penalty>
<maximum suppress time>]
ip prefix-list FLAP-LIST permit 192.0.2.0/24 le 32
```

- Care required when setting parameters
- Penalty must be less than reuse-limit at the maximum suppress time
- Maximum suppress time and half life must allow penalty to be larger than suppress limit

## Configuration

Examples – ×

bgp dampening 30 750 3000 60

reuse-limit of 750 means maximum possible penalty is 3000 – no prefixes suppressed as penalty cannot exceed suppresslimit

Examples – ✓

bgp dampening 30 2000 3000 60

reuse-limit of 2000 means maximum possible penalty is 8000 – suppress limit is easily reached

## Configuration

Examples – ×

bgp dampening 15 500 2500 30

reuse-limit of 500 means maximum possible penalty is 2000 – no prefixes suppressed as penalty cannot exceed suppresslimit

Examples – ✓

bgp dampening 15 750 3000 45

reuse-limit of 750 means maximum possible penalty is 6000 – suppress limit is easily reached

## Maths!

### Maximum value of penalty is

max-penalty = reuse-limit x 2 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{max-suppress-time}{half-life} \end{pmatrix}$$

 Always make sure that suppress-limit is LESS than max-penalty otherwise there will be no route damping

## **Route Flap Damping History**

- First implementations on the Internet by 1995
- Vendor defaults too severe

RIPE Routing Working Group recommendations in ripe-178, ripe-210, and ripe-229

http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs

But many ISPs simply switched on the vendors' default values without thinking

## **Serious Problems:**

- "Route Flap Damping Exacerbates Internet Routing Convergence"
  - Zhuoqing Morley Mao, Ramesh Govindan, George Varghese & Randy H. Katz, August 2002
- "What is the sound of one route flapping?"

Tim Griffin, June 2002

- Various work on routing convergence by Craig Labovitz and Abha Ahuja a few years ago
- "Happy Packets"

Closely related work by Randy Bush et al

## Problem 1:

• One path flaps:

BGP speakers pick next best path, announce to all peers, flap counter incremented

Those peers see change in best path, flap counter incremented

After a few hops, peers see multiple changes simply caused by a single flap  $\rightarrow$  prefix is suppressed

## **Problem 2:**

Different BGP implementations have different transit time for prefixes

Some hold onto prefix for some time before advertising

Others advertise immediately

 Race to the finish line causes appearance of flapping, caused by a simple announcement or path change → prefix is suppressed

## **Solution:**

- Do NOT use Route Flap Damping whatever you do!
- RFD will unnecessarily impair access
  - to your network and
  - to the Internet
- More information contained in RIPE Routing Working Group recommendations:

www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/ripe-378.[pdf,html,txt]



# **BGP Scaling Techniques**

#### **ISP/IXP Workshops**